1

2

3

5

7

8

¥

10

11

__

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE CHAIRMAN: Does any other delegate desire to speak in opposition? Delegate Sherbow.

DELEGATE SHERBOW: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, I ask you to vote in opposition to the reconsideration motion. I hope it does not pass. If it does, I hope you will vote against the proposal and vote for the amendment to take this out of the Constitution.

I would like to address myself, without any emotion, to the actual situation as it truly exists in the trial of criminal cases. I have never sat as State's Attorney, I have never prosecuted in a criminal case, but I have sat on the defendant's side of the trial table and I have sat as the judge, and I think I have tried more than my fair share of criminal cases both before a jury and where they sat without a jury.

It is literally absurd that in a civil case involving just money or property when the judge speaks to the jury what he tells them the law is they are required to follow, but then when you get into the field of the criminal law the judge must say to the jury, after he gives them complicated instructions dealing with the